## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/588,510	NISHIMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Robert M. Kunemund	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the followin application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:</li> </ol>	g replies: (1) an amendment, affidav peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing day</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ig date of the final rejection	on.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	(7(f). te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply originates for than three months after the mailing day	136(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	vogueo.	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(		(		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).	· ——	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-7.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10.	ion of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.	
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered the arguments are based on non entered limitations	out does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s) 13. ☐ Other:	). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
	/Robert M Kunemund/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1792			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: as shown in the enclosed 892 there is new art that shows that the amendment of two separate heaters creates a new search and consideration.